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**Introduction**

Family resilience and cancer

Understanding resilience, and positive adjustment, following cancer treatment is crucial to the promotion of well-being and recovery in line with the National Cancer Survivorship Initiative.

Research on resilience and cancer to date has largely adopted an individual focus, studying the individual patient or individual carer’s experiences. This study aimed to take a broader perspective to consider how families experience and show resilience following cancer treatment.

The study of resilience for cancer survivors and their families is a pertinent topic of research, consistent with national priorities. The Board on Health Care Services to Cancer advocates that resilience is a requirement for persons living with cancer and their families.

Although cancer is recognised as having a large impact on family members, it is rarely the subject of, or included in, research on psychosocial health care.

Research on resilience and cancer to date has largely adopted an individual focus, studying the individual patient or individual carer’s experiences. This study aimed to take a broader perspective to consider how families experience and show resilience following cancer treatment.

**Methodology**

Research questions

The study’s primary research question was: “What are the experiences and processes of resilience in families that help with positive adjustment post treatment following cancer?”

1. To explore the experiences of families who have faced a cancer diagnosis and finished treatment.
2. To provide an understanding of the impact of these experiences on family life.
3. To develop a greater understanding of the roles of resilience and positive coping in these families.

**Study design**

A Qualitative design using Grounded Theory Methodology was used. Taking a constructed approach, the categories presented are not meant as variables that hold explanatory and predictive power, but invited as one of many possible interpretative frameworks that present an abstract representation of four families’ reflections on their experiences.

Participants

Eight participants were recruited from Maggie’s Oxford and interviewed in their respective family units as four couples. Eight participants were between 57 and 74 years of age, all in heterosexual relationships. The sample included participants who had experienced a range of primary cancers including renal cancer, lymphoma, leukemias and bowel cancer. One couple had both experienced a cancer diagnosis and treatment. The length of time since finishing active surgical, chemotherapy or radiotherapy treatment ranged from four months to five years.

Procedure

Participants who expressed an interest in being contacted about the study were invited for interview that lasted 00 minutes. It was audiotaped and the data was transcribedverbatim by the researcher. A semi-structured interview schedule with open-ended action and process-oriented questions was used.

Data analysis

The transcripts were analysed using constant comparative methods until theoretical saturation, starting with descriptive codes which were developed to further informed by, these findings.

**Results**

What does “finishing treatment” mean?

“Finishing treatment” is a subjective term that has different interpretations from the recruitment process and research interviews revealed complexities for families subjectively defining themselves as being “post treatment.” Factors included the perceived possibility of recurrence, a fear of “failing treatment,” and a reduction in confidence and trust given the challenge to previously held assumptions and beliefs about their health.

“How can we ever say that the treatment is behind us and you’ve finished treatment? There’s always the possibility there will be more.”

Understanding family contexts to understand family resilience

Accounts of family life post cancer treatment were intertwined with accounts of family life more broadly in the extended family, and family histories.

“I had an uncle that had cancer years ago and they told me he’s only got a few months to live. Everything went wrong with me, he said, three months later he was dead. That was the only thing I’d ever known about it, ‘sitting with me’ dead. That was the only thing I’d ever known about it.”

Resilient relationships

Accepted reciprocity was central to participants’ descriptions of resilient relationships.

“I know what he was going through... I’d been there myself, and it’s just, you don’t know how you’ve going to react but did I find I could help more having gone through it and for that I guess it was a good thing... it’s good we were there for each other’s support.”

“Resilient outlooks in the face of uncertainty

Resilient outlooks consisted of families managing uncertainty, while taking control and positively orienting to the future with spontaneity.

“I don’t know whether I’m in remission or not but at the moment it seems ok... it’s a lot better than some people have it... but it casts a shadow over your life really.”

Conclusion

The findings suggest the importance of attending to the broader family context and family histories to understand how families adjust post treatment. The role of perceived reciprocity is highlighted to be central to resilient family relationships for patients with the lack of taking control and positively orienting to the future while learning to live with uncertainty.

With all participants recruited being interviewed as couples there is huge scope to develop these initial findings with a larger study including multiple perspectives on family experiences post treatment.

Maggie’s Centres welcome all family members affected by cancer. This inclusive model enables families, individually tailored and responsive support for families recovering after cancer, which is both supported by and further informed by these findings.
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